

1 Michael T. Jones (SBN 290660)
2 *mjones@goodwinprocter.com*
3 Nicole L. Chessari (SBN 259970)
4 *nchessari@goodwinprocter.com*
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
5 135 Commonwealth Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025-1105
Tel.: 650.752.3100
Fax.: 650.853.1038

6 **Attorneys for Defendant**
7 **NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 THE PHOENIX INSURANCE
12 COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation;
13 THE TRAVELERS INDEMNITY
14 COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation;
15 THE TRAVELERS INDEMNITY
16 COMPANY OF CONNECTICUT, a
Connecticut corporation; TRAVELERS
PROPERTY CASUALTY COMPANY OF
AMERICA, a Connecticut corporation,

17 Plaintiffs and Counter-
Defendants,

18 v.

19 INFINITY CONTACT, INC., an Iowa
corporation; and NUANCE
20 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Delaware
corporation,

21 Defendants and Counter-
Claimants

22
23 CASE NO. 5:13-cv-05905-BLF

24
25
26
27
**THIRD JOINT STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO REVISE
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
OPPOSITION AND REPLY TO
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

28
DEMAND FOR JURY

Courtroom: 3
Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman
Floor: 5

1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2, Defendant
2 NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (“Defendant”) and Plaintiffs THE PHOENIX
3 INSURANCE COMPANY, THE TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY, THE TRAVELERS
4 INDEMNITY COMPANY OF CONNECTICUT, and TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY
5 COMPANY OF AMERICA (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) (together, with Defendant, the “Parties”),
6 through their undersigned counsel, hereby file the following stipulated request to extend the briefing
7 schedules with respect to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment Against Defendant Nuance
8 Communications, Inc., Docket Entry No. 52 (“Motion”), so that Defendant’s opposition to the
9 Motion will be filed on or before September 29, 2014, and Plaintiffs’ reply brief in support of the
10 Motion will be filed on or before October 22, 2014. In support of this request, the Parties stipulate
11 as follows:

12 WHEREAS, on June 2, 2014, the Court issued a Case Management Order, Docket Entry No.
13 46 (“Order”) setting forth the following schedule with respect to motions for summary judgment:

Parties to file Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment	June 30, 2014
Response to Motion for Summary Judgment	July 30, 2014
Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment	August 20, 2014
Motion for Summary Judgment Hearing	November 6, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.

18 WHEREAS, on June 30, 2014, Plaintiffs filed their Motion with this Court;

19 WHEREAS, on July 15, 2014, the Parties initiated discussions relating to potential settlement
20 of this action;

21 WHEREAS, to further explore potential settlement of this action, the Parties stipulated on
22 July 18, 2014 to extend Defendant’s deadline to file its opposition to the Motion until Friday, August
23 15, 2014 and Plaintiffs’ deadline to file their reply to the Motion until Friday, September 5, 2014;

24 WHEREAS, to further explore potential settlement of this action, the Parties stipulated on
25 August 5, 2014 to extend Defendant’s deadline to file its opposition to the Motion until Friday,
26 August 29, 2014 and Plaintiffs’ deadline to file their reply to the Motion until Friday, September 22,
27 2014;

1 WHEREAS, the Parties have reached a settlement, in principal, and are in the process of
2 preparing an agreement to memorialize the settlement;

3 WHEREAS, to provide the Parties with sufficient time to prepare and enter into the
4 settlement agreement, the Parties have agreed to extend Defendant's deadline to file its opposition to
5 the Motion until Monday, September 29, 2014;

6 WHEREAS, the Parties have further agreed to extend Plaintiffs' deadline to file its reply to
7 the Motion until Wednesday, October 22, 2014;

8 WHEREAS, if the settlement agreement is not effectuated, the Parties agree that the Court
9 should hold a hearing on the Motion on the same date as previously scheduled;

10 WHEREAS, no Party will be prejudiced by the relief requested in the stipulation;

11 WHEREAS, this extension will not substantively affect the overall case schedule given the
12 Order requires a hearing on the Motion to occur on November 6, 2014, which shall remain
13 unchanged.

14 WHEREAS, this stipulation is without prejudice to, or waiver of, any rights or defenses
15 otherwise available to the Parties in this action;

16 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, between the parties
17 hereto that:

- 18 1) Defendant Nuance Communications, Inc., shall have through and including **September**
19 **29, 2014**, to file its opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment; and
20 2) Plaintiffs shall have through and including **October 22, 2014**, to file their reply in
21 support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment.

1 **IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.**

2

3 Dated: August 28, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

4 By: /s/ Mark D. Peterson

5 Mark D. Peterson

6 **CATES PETERSON LLP**

7 4100 Newport Place, suite 230

Newport Beach, CA 92660

Tel: (949) 724-1180

Fax: (949) 724-1190

Email: markpeterson@catespeterson.com

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

10 *The Phoenix Insurance Company, The
Travelers Indemnity Company, The Travelers
Indemnity Company of Connecticut, and
Travelers Property Casualty Company of
America*

12 Dated: August 28, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

14 By: /s/ Nicole L. Chessari

15 Michael T. Jones (SBN 661336)

16 Nicole L. Chessari (SBN 259970)

17 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**

18 135 Commonwealth Drive

Menlo Park, California 94025-1105

Tel.: 650.752.3100

Fax.: 650.853.1038

19 *Attorneys for Defendant*

20 *Nuance Communications, Inc.*

21 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 DATED: August 29, 2014



HONORABLE BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge